Downhill Lane Development Consent Order - TR0100024

South Tyneside Council's response to the examining authority - Written Questions and Requests for Information (ExQ1).

Q No.	Respondent	Question	Answer
1.1.1	Applicant,	Schedule 1 of the dDCO	South Tyneside Council (STC) has
	Interested Parties	provides for various works	no concerns or suggested
	(IPs), Affected	listed (a) to (o). These are not	changes.
	Persons (APs)	located by reference to the	
		works numbers shown on the	
		Works Plans [APP-008]. Some	
		of these works are substantial	
		and as such could give rise to	
		emissions and effects, the	
		assessment of which would	
		need to rely on a precise	
		understanding of their	
		location.	
		IPs/APs are invited to comment	
		of the location and/or effects	
		of the proposed works (a) to	
		(o), identifying any concerns	
		and/or suggested changes	
1.1.4	IPs, APs and Local	The Register of Environmental	STC is supportive of the REAC as a
	Authorities (LAs)	Actions and Commitments	means of delivering mitigation.
		(REAC) is at Appendix 1.3 of the	
		ES [APP-032] and provides a	STC are content with the extent
		summary of mitigation	and relationship between the
		measures. The relationship	CEMP and HEMP and requires no
		between the REAC, the	changes.
		Construction Environmental	
		Management Plan (CEMP) and	
		the Handover Environmental	
		Management Plan (HEMP) is	
		set out in section 5 of the	
		CEMP [APP-051].	
		Do parties wish to comment on	
		the scope of the REAC as a	
		means of delivering mitigation?	
		Are IPs, APs and the LAs	
		content about the extent of	
		and relationship between the	
		CEMP and the HEMP? What	
		changes, if any would you wish	
		to see?	
Q1.1.6	LAs	Paragraph 2.15.8 of the ES	STC is content in principle that
		describes the creation of haul	haul road can be created within

		roads.	the temporary land take areas to
		10003.	minimise the use of the local
		Are LAs content that in	road network.
		principle haul roads can be	
		created within the temporary	
		land take areas which would	
		minimise the use of the local	
		road network?	
Q1.2.7	LA's	The LAs are asked to confirm	STC agrees with the
<u> </u>		whether or not they agree with	methodology, baseline
		the methodology, baseline	conditions and conclusions of the
		conditions and conclusions of	air quality assessment.
		the air quality assessment and	
		whether they wish to make any	STC is content with the
		other comments in relation to	identification of receptors.
		air quality.	·····
		Specifically, the LAs are asked	
		to comment on the	
		identification of receptors.	
Q1.3.1	Applicant / IPs /	Paragraph 9.3.15 of the ES	STC is content that the applicant
	LAs	[APP-020] states that the range	has adequately addressed
		of surveys, their spatial and	matters identified in the
		temporal scope and the survey	screening process.
		methods to be applied were	
		consulted upon with Natural	
		England, Durham Wildlife Trust	
		and the Local Planning	
		Authority as part of the formal	
		EIA Screening Process.	
		Natural England, South	
		Tyneside Council and	
		Sunderland City Council are	
		asked to confirm whether they	
		are content that their	
		responses as part of the	
		Screening Process are	
		adequately addressed by the	
		Applicant.	
		The Applicant is asked to	
		The Applicant is asked to confirm whether, in the	
		absence of any formal	
		response to the Scoping Report	
		from Durham Wildlife Trust,	
		there was any further dialogue	
		with the Trust and if so, what	
		was their response.	
1.5.1	IPs other than the	With respect to matters raised	At this time, STC has no concerns
	Applicant	in RRs or WRs but which were	or required changes to the dDCO.
	Applicant	III KKS OF WKS DUL WHICH WERE	or required changes to the dDCO.

		not discussed in ISH1 and in	
		your view require changes to	
		the dDCO please identify the	
		changes that you require,	
		referring to Articles,	
		Requirements and any other	
		provisions as necessary,	
		providing your preferred	
		drafting where possible and	
		explain why it is proposed and	
		what it aims to achieve.	
		Please cross-reference	
		responses to this question to	
		your RR, WR and to other	
		questions in ExQ1 as necessary.	
Q1.8.1	Applicant	As set out in paragraph 8.3.5 of	STC were content with the
-	LAs	the ES [APP-020] both local	proposed number and location of
		authorities were consulted	photomontages.
		about the number and location	
		of photomontages.	STC are content with the
			methodology, baseline and
		What were the comments of	conclusions of the landscape and
		the local authorities? Were	visual impact assessment.
		their comments taken into	•
		account?	
		14th at one the view of the level	
		What are the views of the local authorities on the	
1		methodology, baseline and	
		conclusions of the landscape	
		conclusions of the landscape	
01 8 2	Applicant	and visual impact assessment?	STC considers that it might be
Q1.8.2	Applicant	and visual impact assessment? The local planning policies and	STC considers that it might be
Q1.8.2	South Tyneside	and visual impact assessment? The local planning policies and designations shown on Figure	advantageous for figure 8.1 to be
Q1.8.2	••	and visual impact assessment? The local planning policies and designations shown on Figure 8.1 are based on published	advantageous for figure 8.1 to be updated and to reflect that
Q1.8.2	South Tyneside	and visual impact assessment? The local planning policies and designations shown on Figure 8.1 are based on published documentation as of October	advantageous for figure 8.1 to be
Q1.8.2	South Tyneside	and visual impact assessment? The local planning policies and designations shown on Figure 8.1 are based on published documentation as of October 2018, which has not yet been	advantageous for figure 8.1 to be updated and to reflect that
Q1.8.2	South Tyneside	and visual impact assessment? The local planning policies and designations shown on Figure 8.1 are based on published documentation as of October 2018, which has not yet been updated to take account of	advantageous for figure 8.1 to be updated and to reflect that
Q1.8.2	South Tyneside	and visual impact assessment? The local planning policies and designations shown on Figure 8.1 are based on published documentation as of October 2018, which has not yet been updated to take account of Testo's junction, as described	advantageous for figure 8.1 to be updated and to reflect that
Q1.8.2	South Tyneside	and visual impact assessment? The local planning policies and designations shown on Figure 8.1 are based on published documentation as of October 2018, which has not yet been updated to take account of Testo's junction, as described in paragraph 8.4.1 of the ES	advantageous for figure 8.1 to be updated and to reflect that
Q1.8.2	South Tyneside	and visual impact assessment? The local planning policies and designations shown on Figure 8.1 are based on published documentation as of October 2018, which has not yet been updated to take account of Testo's junction, as described	advantageous for figure 8.1 to be updated and to reflect that
Q1.8.2	South Tyneside	and visual impact assessment? The local planning policies and designations shown on Figure 8.1 are based on published documentation as of October 2018, which has not yet been updated to take account of Testo's junction, as described in paragraph 8.4.1 of the ES [APP-020]. Whilst published	advantageous for figure 8.1 to be updated and to reflect that
Q1.8.2	South Tyneside	and visual impact assessment? The local planning policies and designations shown on Figure 8.1 are based on published documentation as of October 2018, which has not yet been updated to take account of Testo's junction, as described in paragraph 8.4.1 of the ES [APP-020]. Whilst published documentation does not	advantageous for figure 8.1 to be updated and to reflect that
Q1.8.2	South Tyneside	and visual impact assessment? The local planning policies and designations shown on Figure 8.1 are based on published documentation as of October 2018, which has not yet been updated to take account of Testo's junction, as described in paragraph 8.4.1 of the ES [APP-020]. Whilst published documentation does not reflect the Testo's scheme	advantageous for figure 8.1 to be updated and to reflect that
Q1.8.2	South Tyneside	and visual impact assessment? The local planning policies and designations shown on Figure 8.1 are based on published documentation as of October 2018, which has not yet been updated to take account of Testo's junction, as described in paragraph 8.4.1 of the ES [APP-020]. Whilst published documentation does not reflect the Testo's scheme South Tyneside Council and the	advantageous for figure 8.1 to be updated and to reflect that
Q1.8.2	South Tyneside	and visual impact assessment? The local planning policies and designations shown on Figure 8.1 are based on published documentation as of October 2018, which has not yet been updated to take account of Testo's junction, as described in paragraph 8.4.1 of the ES [APP-020]. Whilst published documentation does not reflect the Testo's scheme South Tyneside Council and the Applicant are asked how Fig	advantageous for figure 8.1 to be updated and to reflect that
Q1.8.2	South Tyneside	and visual impact assessment? The local planning policies and designations shown on Figure 8.1 are based on published documentation as of October 2018, which has not yet been updated to take account of Testo's junction, as described in paragraph 8.4.1 of the ES [APP-020]. Whilst published documentation does not reflect the Testo's scheme South Tyneside Council and the Applicant are asked how Fig 8.1 would reflect any change in	advantageous for figure 8.1 to be updated and to reflect that
Q1.8.2	South Tyneside	and visual impact assessment? The local planning policies and designations shown on Figure 8.1 are based on published documentation as of October 2018, which has not yet been updated to take account of Testo's junction, as described in paragraph 8.4.1 of the ES [APP-020]. Whilst published documentation does not reflect the Testo's scheme South Tyneside Council and the Applicant are asked how Fig 8.1 would reflect any change in circumstance arising from the	advantageous for figure 8.1 to be updated and to reflect that
Q1.8.2	South Tyneside	and visual impact assessment? The local planning policies and designations shown on Figure 8.1 are based on published documentation as of October 2018, which has not yet been updated to take account of Testo's junction, as described in paragraph 8.4.1 of the ES [APP-020]. Whilst published documentation does not reflect the Testo's scheme South Tyneside Council and the Applicant are asked how Fig 8.1 would reflect any change in	advantageous for figure 8.1 to be updated and to reflect that

	1.4		
	LAs	[APP-020] it is explained that mitigation for landscape and visual effects would be subject to a maintenance period of two years, prior to handover to the future maintaining authority for on-going highway maintenance. The Applicant is asked to confirm on what basis the period of two years was identified and to explain how this would be secured through the DCO.	monitoring and maintenance regime.
		The LAs are asked for their	
		views on the effectiveness of	
		the proposed monitoring and	
		maintenance regime.	
Q1.9.1	LAs / Environment	Paragraphs 12.3.23 and	STC is content with the approach
	Agency /	12.3.24 of the ES [APP-020]	adopted by the applicant.
	Applicant	indicate that South Tyneside	
		Council and Sunderland City	
		Council were consulted by the	
		Applicant in relation to the	
		methodology to be used for	
		the noise assessment,	
		construction assessment and	
		noise monitoring locations and durations. It is noted that	
		comments and feedback were	
		taken into account during the	
		assessment with support	
		received for the assessment	
		approach used and the	
		monitoring locations and	
		durations agreed with the local	
		authorities.	
		Can the LAs please confirm	
		that they are content with	
		these reported comments?	
		Was the Environment Agency	
		consulted on sensitive	
		receptors and the assessment	
		methodology as specified in	
		the Scoping Opinion?	
		If the Environment Agency	

		were not consulted, can the	
		Applicant explain why not?	
Q1.9.2	Applicant / LAs	In paragraph 12.5.2 of the ES [APP-020] it is explained that noise monitoring was undertaken at two locations, namely Make-Me-Rich Farm and 35 Benton Avenue.	STC is content with the appropriateness of the long term noise monitoring undertaken and locations selected.
		The Applicant and LAs are asked to comment on the appropriateness of these locations for long term unattended monitoring, whether other locations should also have been included and the relevance of surveys undertaken in 2014.	
Q1.9.5	LAs	The proposed construction working hours are set out in paragraph 12.6.5 of the ES [APP-020].	STC is content with the proposed working hours including activities outside of core hours.
		Are LAs content with the proposed working hours including activities outside of core hours?	
Q1.9.10	Applicant / LAs	Paragraphs 12.7.5 and 12.7.9 of the ES [APP-020] indicate that a scheme of noise and vibration monitoring containing a schedule of monitoring and agreed noise and vibration limits would be drafted and consulted upon with the local authorities as part of the Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP).	STC is content that these matters can be agreed within the CEMP rather than during the examination.
		The Applicant is asked why is it not possible to provide the required information before the completion of the Examination?	
		Is this to be secured through the CEMP, REAC or through the dDCO or is it part of the Dust, Noise and Nuisance Management Plan?	

		As the schedule of monitoring is yet to be agreed, no details have been provided in the ES. Please can the applicant provide an indicative plan of what these monitoring measures would involve, who would carry these measures out, over what temporal scale and considering what thresholds?	
		Are the LAs content with the matters being addressed through the CEMP rather than during the Examination?	
Q1.12.6	Environment Agency LAs	A flood risk assessment was undertaken and is included as Appendix 14.3 of the ES [APP- 041]. Do the Environment Agency	STC is content with the methodology adopted.
		and the LAs wish to make any comment on the methodology adopted?	